## City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 28.

### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: JUNE 26, 2008

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF. JONE 26, 2006			
<b>DEPARTMENT: PLANNING &amp; DEVE</b>	ELOPMENT		
DIRECTOR: M. MARGO WHEEL	LER	<b>□</b> Consent <b>≥</b>	<b>☑</b> Discussion
SUBJECT: ZON-28018 - REZONING - PUBL DEVELOPMENT, LP - OWNER: ART FROM: R-4 (HIGH DENSITY RESIDEN southwest corner of Utah Avenue and C 040), Ward 3 (Reese)	<b>TCENTRAL SOUTH</b> NTIAL) TO: R-5 (APA	<b>, LLC</b> - Request for ARTMENT) on 0.48	r a Rezoning 3 acres at the
C.C.: 08/06/08			
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:  APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:			
Planning Commission Mtg. 2	Planning Com	mission Mtg.	2
City Council Meeting 0	City Council N	<b>Teeting</b>	0
RECOMMENDATION: APPROVAL		S	
<b>BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:</b>	34.5		
1. Location and Aerial Maps			
2. Conditions and Staff Report		57/	
3. Supporting Documentation		// //	
4. Photos			
5. Justification Letter			

6. Protest/Support Postcards

7. Submitted After Final Agenda – Protest Postcard for Items 28 and 29

Motion made by STEVEN EVANS to Hold in Abeyance Items 28 and 29 to 7/24/2008

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0 SAM DUNNAM, DAVID STEINMAN, RICHARD TRUESDELL, BYRON GOYNES, GLENN TROWBRIDGE, STEVEN EVANS, VICKI QUINN; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

NOTE: COMMISSIONER TRUESDELL disclosed that he owns property in the downtown area, which is outside of the notice area, and he would vote on this matter.

#### Minutes:

CHAIR GOYNES declared the Public Hearing open for Items 28 and 29.

### City of Las Vegas A

Agenda Item No.: 28.

#### PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: June 26, 2008

PETER LOWENSTEIN, Planning and Development Department, stated the project meets the redevelopment goals for the area and is consistent with the neighborhood's pattern of development. He recommended approval of both items.

JIM TORTI, San Diego, California, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He explained that this project would provide workforce housing with a designer edge. He agreed to all conditions and respectfully requested approval.

MR. TORTI informed COMMISSIONER TRUESDELL that the project would consist of 54 units with an emphasis on two-bedroom units. He described the units which would vary in size from 440 square feet to 1000 square feet and noted that the project would not include many amenities in order to make it feasible. MR. TORTIN confirmed that the units would be constructed above a parking deck and would be offered at market rates.

COMMISSIONER EVANS suggested that Casino Center has the potential to be one of the greatest streets in the downtown area, but expressed concern with the lack of detail in the elevations. He requested the applicant provide elevations of the final building and noted the lack of streetscape and trees in the proposal.

DOUG RANKIN, Planning and Development Department, informed COMMISSIONER EVANS that each project is considered on its own merits and could not comment on how this project would impact the streetscape for Casino Center. He noted that staff does support the project.

With regards to the streetscape waiver request, MR. TORTI informed COMMISSIONER EVANS that the applicant does not have enough room on the parcel to setback the buildings to create more pedestrian-friendly streets. MR. TORTI explained that the project's corner had been cut back to encourage a more pedestrian-friendly environment.

COMMISSIONER EVANS wondered why trees could not be included in the project's streetscape and stated that people would not be encouraged to walk without trees. He pointed out that the average nighttime tempatures in the Las Vegas Valley have increased due to the lack of trees.

COMMISSIONER TROWBRIDGE stated that he was not impressed with presentation and observed that the project looks like a box with outside stairwells facing the streets. He stated he could support 54 units on a half-acre if the building were attractive and innovative. MR. TORTI agreed that the building's combination of textures and materials were not adequately expressed in the submitted elevations, but stated the building would be interesting and attractive. He explained that the challenge was making the project financially feasible as a rental property and that was the reason for the number of units. MR. TORTI pointed out that workforce housing is underserved in this area.

COMMISSIONER STEINMAN pointed out the area needs a lot of help and expressed concern that this project will not match the downtown standards. He suggested the applicant is

## City of Las Vegas

City of Lity registr

Agenda Item No.: 28.

# PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: June 26, 2008 attempting to overbuild the site. MR. TORTI explained that the contributing factor to the size of

the project was the effort to provide one parking space per unit. COMMISSIONER STEINMAN suggested an added condition requiring the inclusion of trees in the streetscape.

MARGO WHEELER, Director of the Planning and Development Department, informed COMMISSIONER STEINMAN that parking standards were not applied in the downtown area and stated the applicant could submit an application for a project with no parking.

COMMISSIONER EVANS observed that the applicant might need to modify the project's design and recommended holding the items to allow the applicant to work with the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) on the streetscape's continuity.

MR. TORTI expressed his willingness to work with staff and the RTC, but noted that the property might not have enough land to accommodate a completely articulated landscape plan.

COMMISSIONER EVANS requested that the applicant provide a better example of the final project and COMMISSIONER STEINMAN suggested the applicant bring colored elevations.

COMMISSIONER TRUESDELL observed that the area is part of a major landscaping program and stated that allowing this project to be built without any integration into that plan would be a travesty. He expressed his support for holding the items for 30 days. MR. TORTI reiterated his willingness to work with staff and the RTC, but added that reducing the number of units would make the project less economically feasible.

MS. WHEELER informed the Commissioners that a ten-foot distance between the existing curb and property line is required for a tree-street program and FLINN FAGG, Planning and Development Department, noted that the subject property is an unusual case with only a five-foot buffer available.

BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department, informed COMMMISSIONER EVANS that Casino Center is the route for Ace Line, the Bus Rapid Transit Line for the RTC and stated that its dedication would require two lanes. He explained that is the reason Casino Center is excluded from the Downtown Centennial standards and is allowed to be developed differently. He expressed support for holding the items to allow staff to do more research and present a more complete presentation.

COMMISSIONER STEINMAN suggested that the project might need a waiver, given Casino Center's limitations, and MR. ANDERSON observed that a waiver might not be necessary, as Casino Center was designated as a transit-oriented street.

MR. TORTI explained that he could not compromise on the building's envelope, the number of units or the number of parking spaces, but expressed his willingness to include some form of landscaping buffer in the five-foot area between the property line and Casino Center.

CHAIR GOYNES declared the Public Hearing closed for Items 28 and 29.

City of Las Vegas Agenda Item No.: 28.

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: June 26, 2008

